
RIVER PODDLE FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

VOLUME 2 – MAIN REPORT



FEBRUARY 2020

**South Dublin County Council & Dublin City Council in association with
the Office of Public Works**

RIVER PODDLE FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

VOLUME 2 – MAIN REPORT

Nicholas O’Dwyer Ltd.

Consulting Engineers

Nutgrove Office Park

Nutgrove Avenue

Dublin 14

February 2020

PROJECT NO. 20662					
Revision	Reason for Revision	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Issue Date
-	First Issue	NOD/NM/ES/FB/MK	LMG/BT	BD	06/05/2019
A	Working Draft for Client Issue	NOD/NM/ES/DOL/KM/CS/FB/MK	LMG/BT	BD	28/06/2019
B	Final Draft for Client Issue	NOD/NM/ES/DOL/KM/CS/FB/MK	LMG/BD	BD	29/11/2019
C	Final Issue	NOD/NM/ES/KM/CS/FB/MK	LMG/BD	BD	20/02/2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The project's consulting engineers Nicholas O'Dwyer Ltd. would like to thank the following officers of South Dublin County Council (SDCC), Dublin City Council (DCC) and the Office of Public Works (OPW) for their work on the River Poddle Flood Alleviation Project Steering Group.

David Grant, Resident Engineer, SDCC

Teresa Walsh, SDCC

Stephen Byrne, SDCC

Chris Galvin, SDCC

Robert McNally, SDCC

Yasir Khan, SDCC

Gerry O'Connell, DCC

Qaisar Shawqi, DCC

Francis Kenna, OPW

Noel Darcy, OPW

Stephen Jones, OPW

Melessa Lunney, OPW

Deirdre Preston Byrne, OPW

John G Murphy, OPW

Vincent Rhatigan, OPW

Fiach O'Keeffe, OPW

Mark Hayes, OPW

Cian Hynes, OPW

List of Contents

6	POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Methodology.....	6-1
6.3	Existing Environment.....	6-1
6.4	Potential Impacts	6-6
6.5	Mitigation Measures	6-8
6.6	Residual Impacts	6-9
7	BIODIVERSITY	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Methodology.....	7-5
7.3	Existing Environment.....	7-8
7.4	Field Survey Results	7-12
7.5	Potential Impacts	7-27
7.6	Mitigation Measures	7-35
7.7	Residual Impacts	7-38
7.8	Monitoring.....	7-41
8	HYDROLOGY AND HYDROMORPHOLOGY	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Statement of Authority	8-1
8.3	The Proposed Development	8-1
8.4	The Existing Environment	8-2
8.5	Methodology.....	8-7
8.6	Potential Impacts During Construction	8-12
8.7	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Scheme during Operation	8-15
8.8	Mitigation Measures	8-16

8.9	Monitoring.....	8-19
9	SOILS, GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Statement of Authority	9-1
9.3	Methodology.....	9-1
9.4	Existing Environmental Conditions.....	9-1
9.5	Potential Impacts	9-4
9.6	Mitigation Measures	9-5
9.7	Residual Impacts	9-7
10	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Statement of Authority	10-1
10.3	Methodology	10-1
10.4	Existing Environmental Conditions – Planning Policy	10-11
10.5	Existing Environmental Conditions – Baseline	10-20
10.6	Proposed Development.....	10-25
10.7	Mitigation/Avoidance by Design	10-27
10.8	Potential Effects.....	10-27
10.9	Mitigation and Avoidance Measures	10-46
10.10	Residual Impacts	10-48
11	ARCHAEOLOGICAL, ARCHITECTURAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	11-1
11.1	Introduction	11-1
11.2	Methodology	11-2
11.3	Existing Environment.....	11-8
11.4	Archaeological and Historical Background.....	11-9
11.5	Predicted Impacts during Construction.....	11-23
11.6	Mitigation Measures	11-25
11.7	Residual Impacts	11-27

12	NOISE AND VIBRATION	12-1
12.1	Introduction	12-1
12.2	Statement of Authority	12-1
12.3	Methodology	12-2
12.4	Existing Environment.....	12-6
12.5	Noise Impact Assessment	12-7
12.6	Mitigation Measures	12-20
12.7	Residual impacts.....	12-22
13	AIR QUALITY AND CLIMATE	13-1
13.1	Introduction	13-1
13.2	Statement of Authority	13-1
13.3	Methodology	13-2
13.4	Existing Environment.....	13-5
13.5	Air Quality & Dust Impact Assessment.....	13-6
13.6	Mitigation Measures	13-12
13.7	Residual Impacts	13-15
14	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT	14-1
14.1	Introduction	14-1
14.2	Statement of Authority	14-1
14.3	Transport Assessment Methodology.....	14-2
14.4	Existing Environment.....	14-4
14.5	Characteristics of the Proposed Development	14-6
14.6	Likely Significant Effects	14-14
14.7	Mitigation Measures	14-20
14.8	Residual Impacts	14-22
15	MATERIAL ASSETS	15-1
15.1	Introduction	15-1
15.2	Methodology	15-1

15.3	Characteristics of the Proposed Scheme.....	15-1
15.4	Existing Environment.....	15-2
15.5	Potential Impacts.....	15-8
15.6	Mitigation Measures	15-11
15.7	Residual Impacts	15-13
16	INTERACTIONS	16-1
16.1	Introduction	16-1
16.2	Interactions Matrix.....	16-1
16.3	Population & Human Health Interactions	16-1
16.4	Biodiversity Interactions	16-2
16.5	Hydrology & Hydromorphology Interactions.....	16-3
16.6	Soils, Geology and Hydrogeology Interactions	16-3
16.7	Landscape and Visual Interactions	16-3
16.8	Archaeology, Cultural and Built Heritage Interactions	16-3
16.9	Noise and Vibration Interactions	16-3
16.10	Air Quality Interactions.....	16-3
16.11	Traffic & Transport Interactions	16-4
16.12	Material Assets Interactions	16-4
17	SCHEDULE OF MITIGATION MEASURES.....	17-1
17.1	Introduction	17-1
17.2	Schedule of Mitigation Measures	17-1

List of Tables

Table 6-1:	Population change by council area 2006 to 2016.....	6-3
Table 6-2:	Population Census Statistics for the Area.....	6-4
Table 7-1:	Overview of fieldwork personnel and dates.....	7-6
Table 7-2:	The six-level ecological valuation scheme - CIEEM guidelines (2016).....	7-7

Table 7-3: Designated sites of relevance to the proposed development site	7-10
Table 7-4: Peak counts of birds recorded in Tymon Park in Jan – Apr 2018	7-23
Table 7-5: Identification of important ecological features: designated sites, habitats and flora.....	7-25
Table 7-6: Identification of important ecological features: fauna	7-26
Table 7-7: Habitats within the development footprint.....	7-28
Table 7-8: Summary of residual impacts	7-40
Table 8-1: Sub-catchments in the Study Area.....	8-4
Table 8-2: Comparison of FSU flows to ICM model flows (CFRAM and FAS current study)	8-11
Table 10-1: Categories of Landscape Sensitivity.....	10-4
Table 10-2: Magnitude of Landscape Change.....	10-5
Table 10-3: Significance of Effects	10-6
Table 10-4: Categories of Visual Receptor Sensitivity	10-8
Table 10-5: Categories of Visual Change	10-9
Table 10-6: Landscape Effects Summary Table.....	10-32
Table 10-7: Location of Proposed Photomontages	10-33
Table 10-8: Summary of Visual Effects	10-44
Table 11-1: Impact Definitions: Archaeology.....	11-7
Table 11-2: Impact Definitions: Architecture.....	11-8
Table 11-3: RMP sites within the receiving environment.....	11-17
Table 11-4: Built heritage assets within the receiving environment.....	11-18
Table 11-5: Place name analysis	11-20
Table 11-6: Demesne landscapes within the receiving environment.....	11-21
Table 11-7: Cultural heritage assets within the receiving environment.....	11-22
Table 11-8: Proposed mitigation measures.....	11-26
Table 12-1: Maximum permissible noise levels at the façade of dwellings during construction.....	12-2
Table 12-2: Construction noise threshold levels based on the BS 5228 ‘ABC’ method.....	12-3

Table 12-3: The noise limit criteria to be applied depending on the results of the screening processes12-4

Table 12-4: Noise monitoring data during the daytime period on Tuesday 14th May 201912-6

Table 12-5: Areas of proposed construction works and whether these are likely to result in a construction noise impact and require construction mitigation measures12-9

Table 12-6: Typical Noise Levels from Construction Works likely to take place during the construction of proposed development12-14

Table 12-7: Estimated construction programme12-15

Table 12-8: Predicted worst-case construction noise levels at specific locations in proximity to potential future construction works12-16

Table 13-1: Limit Values13-2

Table 13-2: Alert Thresholds for Sulphur Dioxide & Nitrogen Dioxide13-3

Table 13-3: Target Values of Directive 2004/107/EC13-3

Table 13-4: Target Values for Ozone from 201013-3

Table 13-5: Long Term Objectives for Ozone from 202013-3

Table 13-6: Information and Alert Thresholds for Ozone13-4

Table 13-7: Rathmines EPA Air Quality monitoring station data ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)13-5

Table 13-8: Tallaght EPA Air Quality monitoring station data ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)13-5

Table 13-9: Areas of proposed construction works and whether these are likely to result in a construction noise impact and require construction mitigation measures13-8

Table 13-10: Dust Management Plan13-14

Table 14-1: Assessment Criteria14-3

Table 14-2: Traffic estimates based on loads and estimated duration of works14-12

Table 14-3: Summary of Impacts14-18

Table 15-1: Licensed Waste Facilities in proximity to the Scheme15-6

Table 16-1: Summary of Impact Interactions16-4

Table 17-1: Population & Human Health Mitigation Measures (Chapter 6, Page 6-8)17-1

Table 17-2: Biodiversity Mitigation Measures (Chapter 7, Pages 7-35 – 7-38)17-1

Table 17-3: Hydrology and Hydromorphology Mitigation Measures (Chapter 8, Pages 8-16 – 8-20)	17-4
Table 17-4: Soils, Geology & Hydrogeology Mitigation Measures (Chapter 9, Pages 9-5 – 9-7)	17-6
Table 17-5: Landscape and Visual Mitigation Measures (Chapter 10, Pages 10-46 to 10-48)	17-8
Table 17-6: Archaeological, Architectural and Cultural Heritage Mitigation Measures (Chapter 11, Page 11-26 – 11-27)	17-11
Table 17-7: Noise and Vibration Mitigation Measures (Chapter 12, Page 12-20 – 12-21)	17-13
Table 17-8: Air Quality and Climate Mitigation Measures (Chapter 13, Page 13-12 – 13-15)	17-14
Table 17-9: Traffic and Transport Mitigation Measures (Chapter 14, Pages 14-20 to 14-22)	17-17
Table 17-10: Material Assets Mitigation Measures (Chapter 15, Pages 15-11 to 15-12)	17-17

List of Figures

Figure 7-1: Designated Sites	7-42
Figure 7-2: Habitat Map (Tymon Park)	7-43
Figure 7-3: Tymon Survey - Tymon North	7-44
Figure 7-4: Tymon Park (west)	7-45
Figure 7-5: Bat survey – Tymon Park (east)	7-46
Figure 7-6: Bat Survey – Whitehall / Wainsfort Manor	7-47
Figure 7-7: Bat Survey – Fortfield Road / Ravensdale	7-48
Figure 8-1: River Poddle surrounding waters quality	8-21
Figure 8-2: Hydrological Estimation Points	8-22
Figure 8-3: Q-Values of the River Poddle and other surrounding rivers 2007-2017	8-23
Figure 8-4: Transitional water risk in relation to the River Poddle	8-24
Figure 8-5: River Poddle catchment as per the FSU web tool	8-25
Figure 8-6: Critical Storm duration by reach of the River Poddle	8-26
Figure 9-1: Soils in the surrounding areas of the River Poddle	9-8
Figure 9-2: River Poddle Quarternary Sediments	9-9

Figure 9-3: River Poddle Flood Alleviation Scheme Characteristics9-10

Figure 9-4: River Poddle Groundwater Vulnerability.....9-11

Figure 10-1. Key Views and Prospects (indicative). Source: Dublin City Development Plan10-49

Figure 11-1: South western end of the proposed Scheme showing archaeological, architectural and cultural heritage assets11-28

Figure 11-2: North eastern end of the proposed Scheme showing archaeological, architectural and cultural heritage assets11-29

Figure 11-3: Extract from Roque’s Map of County Dublin, 1760.....11-30

Figure 11-4: Extract from Roque’s Map of County Dublin, 1760.....11-31

Figure 11-5: Extract from Taylor's map of the environs of Dublin, 1816 from Kimmage to Harold’s Cross.....11-32

Figure 11-6: Extract from Duncan’s Map of the County of Dublin 1821, showing the course of the Poddle from Tallaght flowing north eastwards11-33

Figure 11-7: Extract from Ordnance Survey 6-inch map, 1843 showing the Poddle as it flows through Kimmage11-34

Figure 11-8: Extract from Ordnance Survey 6-inch map, 1843 showing the Poddle as it flows northeast of Kimmage towards Harold’s Cross11-35

Figure 11-9: Extract from Ordnance Survey 5-foot map, 1876 showing Tinker Mill and Brook Lawn 11-36

Figure 11-10: Extract from Ordnance Survey 5-foot map, 1876 showing Larkfield Mill11-37

Figure 11-11: Extract from Ordnance Survey 25-inch map, 1906-9 showing Mount Jerome11-38

Figure 12-1: Flow Chart for the Identification of Appropriate Noise Criteria (Ref. EPA Guidance Note NG4).12-23

Figure 12-2: Baseline noise monitoring locations - Tuesday 14th May 201912-24

List of Plates

Plate 10-1: Lake, grassland and trees in Tymon Park	10-50
Plate 10-2: Open River channel in grassland at Tymon Park	10-50
Plate 10-3: Topography slopes towards the lakes south of Limekiln Road	10-51
Plate 10-4: View 1 of open grass lined channel from west of Wellington Lane	10-52
Plate 10-5: View 2 of open grass lined channel from west of Wellington Lane	10-52
Plate 10-6: River Poddle in open green space north of Templeville Road	10-53
Plate 10-7: View 1 of Bridge, wall and trees in southern end of Ravensdale Park.....	10-54
Plate 10-8: View 2 Bridge, wall and trees in southern end of Ravensdale Park.....	10-54
Plate 10-9: High concrete wall at Ravensdale Park.....	10-55
Plate 10-10: Mature trees and grass with low enclosing wall at Ravensdale Park.....	10-55
Plate 10-11: View 1 of dense trees and vegetation along riverbank at St. Martin’s Drive.....	10-56
Plate 10-12: View 2 of dense trees and vegetation along riverbank at St. Martin’s Drive.....	10-56
Plate 10-13: Mature trees and undergrowth and grass create enclosure give a sense of naturalness along the river corridor.....	10-57
Plate 10-14: View 1 of Poddle corridor at Mount Argus Close	10-58
Plate 10-15: View 2 of Poddle corridor at Mount Argus Close	10-58
Plate 10-16: River flows through grass with some trees on bank at Mount Argus Church Grounds ..	10-59
Plate 10-17: Wall contains river with dense vegetation along bank at Mt Jerome.....	10-60
Plate 11-1: Location of castle DU022-007, facing east	11-39
Plate 11-2: Location of proposed flood defence embankment, Tymon Park, facing east.....	11-39
Plate 11-3: Compound location, facing NE	11-39
Plate 11-4: Wall at eastern end of Tymon Park, facing N	11-39
Plate 11-5: North of Templeville Rd., facing S	11-40
Plate 11-6: Ravensdale Park, facing NE.....	11-40
Plate 11-7: Poddle Park, facing NE.....	11-40

Plate 11-8: Location of weir DU018-043003 facing SW11-40

Plate 11-8: Location of weir DU018-043003 facing SW11-40